



FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM DEVELOPMENT

Date: 20.09.2017

Name of the Faculty:			Designation	on:			
Dr A K Parvathy	Professor						
Experience in years:	Programme Name:						
25	B.Tech/EEE						
Department Vision & Mission Statements are well defined.							
	1 2	3	4	5			
Strongly Disagree				Х	Strongly Agree		
The PO, PEO and PSO statements for the program offered are framed clearly.							
	1 2	3	4	5			
Strongly Disagree			Х		Strongly Agree		
The Curriculum, Courses and Syllabus are framed in line with industry demands.							
	1 2	3	4	5			
Strongly Disagree			X		Strongly Agree		

The curriculum is designed to provide measurable outcomes.						
	1	2	3	4	5	
Strongly Disagree					Х	Strongly Agree
Design of syllabus was well structured to achieve balance between fundamentals and advanced topics.						
	1	2	3	4	5	
Strongly Disagree				X		Strongly Agree
The curriculum recommends relevant books and references in the field.						
	1	2	3	4	5	
Strongly Disagree					X	Strongly Agree
Teaching the course increased my knowledge and subject matter expertise.						
	1	2	3	4	5	
Strongly Disagree					X	Strongly Agree

Curriculum was innovative and periodically updated.						
Strongly Disagree	1	2		4	5	Strongly Agree

Please provide your suggestions for further improvement in curriculum

AC and DC machines can be combined and taught at the same time. Applications of all machines need to be included as self - learning topics.