

FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM DEVELOPMENT

Date:	15.08.2017

Date: 15.08.2017							
Name of the Faculty:				Designatio	on:		
Mr. N. Karthik	AP(SG)						
Experience in years:				Programm	ne Name:		
14				B.Tech/EE	E		
Department Vision & Miss	ion Statem	ents are we	ll defined.				
	1	2	3	4	5		
Strongly Disagree				x		Strongly Agree	
The PO, PEO and PSO sta	tements for	the progra	m offered	are framed	clearly.		
	1	2	3	4	5		
Strongly Disagree					x	Strongly Agree	
The Curriculum, Courses and Syllabus are framed in line with industry demands.							
	1	2	3	4	5		
Strongly Disagree						Strongly Agree	
					X		

The curriculum is desigr	ied to provi	ide measu	rable outo	comes.		
	1	2	3	4	5	
Strongly Disagree				X		Strongly Agree
Design of syllabus was w opics.	ell structur	ed to achi	eve balan	ce betweer	fundamer	ntals and advanced
Strongly Disagree	1	2	3	4	5 X	Strongly Agree
`he curriculum recomm	ends releva	nt books :	and refere	ences in the	e field.	

Strongly Disagree		x		Strongly Agree

Teaching the course increased my knowledge and subject matter expertise.								
	1	2	3	4	5			
Strongly Disagree					X	Strongly Agree		

Curriculum was innovative and periodically updated.								
Strongly Disagree	1		3		5 X	Strongly Agree		

More core paper with practical, add advanced technology courses like artificial intelligance, electrical system design