

FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM DEVELOPMENT

Date: 20.09.2017

Date: 20.09.2017								
Name of the Faculty:				Designatio	on:			
Dr.S.Sunil Kumar	AP(SG)							
Experience in years:				Programn	ne Name:			
15				M.Tech/EO	CE			
Department Vision & Miss	sion Statem	ents are we	ell defined.					
	1	2	3	4	5			
Strongly Disagree					X	Strongly Agree		
The PO. PEO and PSO sta	The PO, PEO and PSO statements for the program offered are framed clearly.							
,								
	1	2	3	4	5			
Strongly Disagree			x			Strongly Agree		
The Curriculum, Courses and Syllabus are framed in line with industry demands.								
	1	2	3	4	5			
Strongly Disagree					x	Strongly Agree		

	1	2	3	4	5	
Strongly Disagree			X			Strongly Agree
esign of syllabus was wo	ell structur	ed to achi	eve balan	ce between	ı fundamen	tals and advanced
Strongly Disagree	1	2	3	4 X	5	Strongly Agree
he curriculum recomm	ends releva	nt books :	and refere	nces in the	e field.	

Strongly Disagree		x	Strongly Agree

Teaching the course increased my knowledge and subject matter expertise.								
Strongly Disagree		2			5 X	Strongly Agree		

Curriculum was innovative and periodically updated.								
Strongly Disagree			3		5	Strongly Agree		

Please provide your suggestions for further improvement in curriculum

simulations for circuits, antennas can be included