

FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM DEVELOPMENT

Date:	21	.02	.20	18
-------	----	-----	-----	----

Name of the Faculty:				Designation	0 n:			
Mr. Shyam Nair	Assistant Professor							
Experience in years:				Programn	ne Name:			
6				M.Tech				
Department Vision & Miss	ion Statemo	ents are wel	ll defined.					
	1	2	3	4	5			
Strongly Disagree					X	Strongly Agree		
The PO, PEO and PSO statements for the program offered are framed clearly.								
	1	2	3	4	5			
Strongly Disagree				x		Strongly Agree		
The Curriculum, Courses and Syllabus are framed in line with industry demands.								
	1	2	3	4	5			
Strongly Disagree				X		Strongly Agree		

The curriculum is designed to provide measurable outcomes.							
	1	2	3	4	5		
Strongly Disagree					X	Strongly Agree	
Design of syllabus was well structured to achieve balance between fundamentals and advanced topics.							
	1	2	3	4	5		
Strongly Disagree				X		Strongly Agree	

The curriculum recomm	ends releva	ant books :	and refere	ences in the	e field.	
	1	2	3	4	5	
Strongly Disagree					X	Strongly Agree

Teaching the course increased my knowledge and subject matter expertise.								
Strongly Disagree		2			5 X	Strongly Agree		

Curriculum was innovative and periodically updated.							
Strongly Disagree	1		3	4	5 X	Strongly Agree	

Please provide your suggestions for further improvement in curriculum

Mobile Robotics courses to be added in the curriculum