

## FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM DEVELOPMENT

Date: 2	21.02	.2018
---------	-------	-------

Name of the Faculty:				Designatio	o <b>n:</b>		
Mr. UP Vignesh				Assistant P	rofessor		
Experience in years:				Programn	ne Name:		
4	M.Tech						
Department Vision & Miss	ion Statem	ents are we	ll defined.				
	1	2	3	4	5		
Strongly Disagree					X	Strongly Agree	
The PO, PEO and PSO sta	tements for	the progra	m offered	are framed	clearly.		
	1	2	3	4	5		
Strongly Disagree				X		Strongly Agree	
The Curriculum, Courses and Syllabus are framed in line with industry demands.							
	1	2	3	4	5		
Strongly Disagree				X		Strongly Agree	

	1	2	3	4	5	
Strongly Disagree				X		Strongly Agree
	ell structu					itals and advanced
esign of syllabus was w pics.	r <b>ell structu</b> 1	red to achi 2		<b>ce betwee</b> 4	<b>1 fundame</b> r 5	itals and advanced

The curriculum recomm	ends releva	nt books a	and refere	nces in the	e field.	
	1	2	3	4	5	
Strongly Disagree					X	Strongly Agree

Teaching the course increased my knowledge and subject matter expertise.							
Strongly Disagree		2			5 X	Strongly Agree	

Curriculum was innovative and periodically updated.							
Strongly Disagree			3		5	Strongly Agree	

## Please provide your suggestions for further improvement in curriculum

Practical Courses to be added