

## FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM DEVELOPMENT

<b>Date: 22</b>	.9.2	0	17
-----------------	------	---	----

Name of the Faculty:				Designati	on:		
Dr. V. HARIRAM	Professor						
Experience in years:				Programm	ne Name:		
12				M.Tech			
Department Vision & Miss	ion Stateme	ents are wel	l defined.				
	1	2	3	4	5		
Strongly Disagree					X	Strongly Agree	
The PO, PEO and PSO sta	tements for	the progra	m offered	are framed	clearly.		
	1	2	3	4	5		
Strongly Disagree					X	Strongly Agree	
The Curriculum, Course	s and Sylla	bus are fr	amed in l	ine with in	dustry dem	ands.	
	1	2	3	4	5		
						Strongly Agree	

	1	2	3	4	5	
Strongly Disagree			X			Strongly Agree
esign of syllabus was wo	ell structur	ed to achi	eve balan	ce between	ı fundamen	tals and advanced
Strongly Disagree	1	2	3	4 X	5	Strongly Agree
he curriculum recommo	ends releva	nt books :	and refere	nces in the	e field.	

Strongly Disagree		x	Strongly Agree

Teaching the course increased my knowledge and subject matter expertise.								
Strongly Disagree		2			5 X	Strongly Agree		

Curriculum was innovative and periodically updated.								
Strongly Disagree			3		5	Strongly Agree		

Research methodology Course can be Introduced