



FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM DEVELOPMENT

Date: 26.9.2017

Name of the Faculty:	Designation:						
Dr. A. KRISHNAKUMA	RI Professor						
Experience in years:	Programme Name:						
18	M.Tech						
Department Vision & Mission Statements are well defined.							
	1	2	3	4	5		
Strongly Disagree					X	Strongly Agree	
The PO, PEO and PSO statements for the program offered are framed clearly.							
	1	2	3	4	5		
Strongly Disagree					Х	Strongly Agree	
The Curriculum, Courses and Syllabus are framed in line with industry demands.							
	1	2	3	4	5		
Strongly Disagree					Х	Strongly Agree	

The curriculum is designed to provide measurable outcomes.								
	1	2	3	4	5			
Strongly Disagree				Х		Strongly Agree		
Design of syllabus was we topics.	ell structur	ed to achi	eve balan	ce between	fundamen	tals and advanced		
	1	2	3	4	5			
Strongly Disagree					Х	Strongly Agree		
The curriculum recomme	The curriculum recommends relevant books and references in the field.							
	1	2	3	4	5			
Strongly Disagree					X	Strongly Agree		
Teaching the course increased my knowledge and subject matter expertise.								
	1	2	3	4	5			
Strongly Disagree			Х			Strongly Agree		

Curriculum was innovative and periodically updated.							
Strongly Disagree	1	2	3	4 X	5	Strongly Agree	

Please provide your suggestions for further improvement in curriculum

course on Advanced Strength of Material Maybe Revised.