

## FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM DEVELOPMENT

Date: 2	21.02	2.201	8
---------	-------	-------	---

Name of the Faculty:				Designati	on:			
Ms. Manju Mohan	Assistant Professor							
Experience in years:	Programme Name:							
5	M.Tech							
Department Vision & Miss	ion Stateme	ents are we	ll defined.					
	1	2	3	4	5			
Strongly Disagree			x			Strongly Agree		
The PO, PEO and PSO sta	The PO, PEO and PSO statements for the program offered are framed clearly.							
	1	2	3	4	5			
Strongly Disagree			X			Strongly Agree		
The Curriculum, Courses and Syllabus are framed in line with industry demands.								
	1	2	3	4	5			
Strongly Disagree					X	Strongly Agree		

The curriculum is designed to provide measurable outcomes.							
	1	2	3	4	5		
Strongly Disagree					X	Strongly Agree	
Design of syllabus was well structured to achieve balance between fundamentals and advanced topics.							
	1	2	3	4	5		
Strongly Disagree					X	Strongly Agree	
The curriculum recommends relevant books and references in the field.							

	1	2	3	4	5	
Strongly Disagree			X			Strongly Agree

Teaching the course increased my knowledge and subject matter expertise.								
Strongly Disagree		2			5 X	Strongly Agree		

Curriculum was innovative and periodically updated.							
Strongly Disagree			3		5	Strongly Agree	

## Please provide your suggestions for further improvement in curriculum

Embedded Systems to be included