

## FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM DEVELOPMENT

Date: 2	21.02	2.201	8
---------	-------	-------	---

Name of the Faculty:				Designation	on:		
Mr. G. Deenadayalan	Assistant Professor						
Experience in years:	Programme Name:						
3				M.Tech			
Department Vision & Miss	ion Stateme	ents are we	ll defined.				
	1	2	3	4	5		
Strongly Disagree				x		Strongly Agree	
The PO, PEO and PSO stat	tements for	the progra	nm offered	are framed	clearly.		
	1	2	3	4	5		
						Strongly Agree	
Strongly Disagree					X	Strongly Agree	
The Curriculum, Course	s and Sylla	abus are fi	ramed in l	ine with in	dustry den	nands.	
	1	2	3	4	5		
Strongly Disagree					X	Strongly Agree	

	1	2	3	4	5	
Strongly Disagree				X		Strongly Agree
esign of syllabus was w pics.	ell structur				fundamei	ntals and advanced
			0	4	5	
	1	2	3	4	5	Strongly Agree

	1	2	3	4	5	
Strongly Disagree				X		Strongly Agree

Teaching the course increased my knowledge and subject matter expertise.								
Strongly Disagree		2			5 X	Strongly Agree		

Curriculum was innovative and periodically updated.							
Strongly Disagree	1		3		5 X	Strongly Agree	

Please provide your suggestions for further improvement in curriculum

TIP courses to be added