



## **FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM DEVELOPMENT**

**Date:** 21.02.2018

Name of the Faculty:				Designati	on:			
Mr. Vishnuvardhan	Assistant Professor							
Experience in years:	Programme Name:							
2	M.Tech							
Department Vision & Miss	ion Statemo	ents are we	ll defined.					
	1	2	3	4	5			
Strongly Disagree				Х		Strongly Agree		
The PO, PEO and PSO statements for the program offered are framed clearly.								
	1	2	3	4	5			
Strongly Disagree				X		Strongly Agree		
The Curriculum, Courses and Syllabus are framed in line with industry demands.								
	1	2	3	4	5			
Strongly Disagree					Х	Strongly Agree		

The curriculum is designed to provide measurable outcomes.							
	1	2	3	4	5		
Strongly Disagree			Х			Strongly Agree	
Design of syllabus was well structured to achieve balance between fundamentals and advanced topics.							
	1	2	3	4	5		
Strongly Disagree				Х		Strongly Agree	
The curriculum recommends relevant books and references in the field.							
	1	2	3	4	5		
Strongly Disagree					X	Strongly Agree	
Teaching the course increased my knowledge and subject matter expertise.							
	1	2	3	4	5		
Strongly Disagree					X	Strongly Agree	

Curriculum was innovative and periodically updated.							
Strongly Disagree	1	2	3	4	5 X	Strongly Agree	

## Please provide your suggestions for further improvement in curriculum

Mobile Robotics courses to be added in the curriculum