

FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM DEVELOPMENT

Date: 6-11-2019

Name of the Faculty:				Designati	on:	
Dr. Saravanan				Assistant P	rofessor	
Experience in years:				Programn	ne Name:	
4				BCom		
Department Vision & Miss	ion Stateme	ents are we	ll defined.			
	1	2	3	4	5	
Strongly Disagree					X	Strongly Agree
The PO, PEO and PSO stat	tements for	the progra	m offered	are framed	clearly.	
	1	2	3	4	5	
Strongly Disagree					Х	Strongly Agree
The Curriculum, Course	s and Sylla	ıbus are fr	amed in l	ine with in	dustry dem	ands.
	1	2	3	4	5	
Strongly Disagree			X			Strongly Agree

The curriculum is desig	ned to prov	ide measu	rable outo	comes.		
	1	2	3	4	5	
Strongly Disagree				Х		Strongly Agree
Design of syllabus was v topics.	vell structur	ed to achi	ieve balan	ce betweer	ı fundamen	tals and advanced
	1	2	3	4	5	
Strongly Disagree					Х	Strongly Agree
The curriculum recomm	nends releva	ant books	and refere	ences in the	e field.	
	1	2	3	4	5	
Strongly Disagree					Х	Strongly Agree
Teaching the course inc	reased my k	nowledge	and subje	ect matter	expertise.	
	1	2	3	4	5	
Strongly Disagree				Х		Strongly Agree
The curriculum recomm Strongly Disagree Teaching the course incl	1 reased my k	2 nowledge	and subje	ect matter	e field. 5 X expertise.	Strongly Agree

Curriculum was innovat	ive and per	iodically u	updated.			
	1	2	3	4	5	
Strongly Disagree					X	Strongly Agree

Please provide your suggestions for further improvement in curriculum

Project based leraning should be added