

FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM DEVELOPMENT

Date:	10-3-2022	2

Name of the Faculty:				Designati	on:		
Ms. Navya Sudheer	Assistant Professor						
Experience in years:	Programme Name:						
2				BSc			
Department Vision & Miss	ion Statem	ents are we	ll defined.				
	1	2	3	4	5		
Strongly Disagree					X	Strongly Agree	
Sirvingly Disagree						Strongly Agree	
The PO, PEO and PSO stat	tements for	the progra	m offered	are framed	clearly.		
	1	2	3	4	5		
		-	·		C C		
Strongly Disagree					x	Strongly Agree	
The Curriculum, Courses and Syllabus are framed in line with industry demands.							
	1	2	3	4	5		
Strongly Disagree	[]		[]			Strongly Agree	
				X		0, 0	

	1	2	3	4	5	
Strongly Disagree				X		Strongly Agree
	ell structu					itals and advanced
esign of syllabus was w pics.	r ell structu 1	red to achi 2		ce betwee 4	1 fundame r 5	itals and advanced

The curriculum recomm	ends releva	int books a	and refere	nces in the	e field.	
	1	2	3	4	5	
Strongly Disagree					X	Strongly Agree

Teaching the course increased my knowledge and subject matter expertise.								
Strongly Disagree		2			5 X	Strongly Agree		

Curriculum was innovative and periodically updated.								
Strongly Disagree	1		3		5 X	Strongly Agree		

Please provide your suggestions for further improvement in curriculum

Visulaization and Representation should be kept only for one semster. It is there for 2 semesters which can be removed and entrepreneurial courses to be added with more workshop/guest lectures by experts.