



FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM DEVELOPMENT

Date: 20-05-2018

Name of the Faculty:				Designation	on:			
Ar. Reshmi Panikar	Associate Professor							
				Dиодиами	no Nomo:			
Experience in years:				Programn	ne Name:			
9	B.Arch							
Department Vision & Mission Statements are well defined.								
	1	2	3	4	5			
Strongly Disagree					Х	Strongly Agree		
The PO, PEO and PSO statements for the program offered are framed clearly.								
	1	2	3	4	5			
Strongly Disagree					X	Strongly Agree		
The Curriculum, Courses and Syllabus are framed in line with industry demands.								
	1	2	3	4	5			
Strongly Disagree					Х	Strongly Agree		

The curriculum is designed to provide measurable outcomes.							
	1	2	3	4	5		
Strongly Disagree					Х	Strongly Agree	
Design of syllabus was w topics.	ell structur	ed to achi	eve balan	ce between	fundamen	tals and advanced	
	1	2	3	4	5		
Strongly Disagree					Х	Strongly Agree	
The curriculum recommends relevant books and references in the field.							
	1	2	3	4	5		
Strongly Disagree					X	Strongly Agree	
Teaching the course increased my knowledge and subject matter expertise.							
	1	2	3	4	5		
Strongly Disagree			Х			Strongly Agree	

Curriculum was innovat	ive and per	riodically t	updated.			
Strongly Disagree	1	2	3	4	5 X	Strongly Agree

Please provide your suggestions for further improvement in curriculum

I believe that our curriculum should prioritize practical, hands-on experience for our student