



## FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM DEVELOPMENT

**Date:** 20-05-2018

Name of the Faculty:				Designati	on:		
Ar.Tabita				Assistant P	Professor		
Experience in years:				Programn	ne Name:		
6				B.Arch			
Department Vision & Mission Statements are well defined.							
	1	2	3	4	5		
Strongly Disagree					Х	Strongly Agree	
The PO, PEO and PSO statements for the program offered are framed clearly.							
	1	2	3	4	5		
Strongly Disagree				Х		Strongly Agree	
The Curriculum, Courses and Syllabus are framed in line with industry demands.							
	1	2	3	4	5		
Strongly Disagree					Х	Strongly Agree	

The curriculum is designed to provide measurable outcomes.							
	1	2	3	4	5		
Strongly Disagree				Х		Strongly Agree	
Design of syllabus was well structured to achieve balance between fundamentals and advanced topics.							
	1	2	3	4	5		
Strongly Disagree				X		Strongly Agree	
The curriculum recommends relevant books and references in the field.							
	1	2	3	4	5		
Strongly Disagree	1	2	3	4 X	5	Strongly Agree	
Strongly Disagree  Teaching the course incr				х		Strongly Agree	
				х		Strongly Agree  Strongly Agree	

Curriculum was innovative and periodically updated.							
	1	2		4	5		
Strongly Disagree				X		Strongly Agree	

## Please provide your suggestions for further improvement in curriculum

As a faculty member, it is my responsibility to ensure that our students are equipped with the skills and knowledge they need to succeed in the field