

FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM DEVELOPMENT

Date: 17.2.2017

Name of the Faculty:	Designation:							
Dr.R.Christupaul	Professor							
Experience in years:	Programme Name:							
30	M.Tech-Automobile Engineering							
Department Vision & Miss	ion Stateme	ents are we	ll defined.					
	1	2	3	4	5			
Strongly Disagree					X	Strongly Agree		
The PO, PEO and PSO stat	tements for	the progra	m offered	are framed	clearly.			
	1	2	3	4	5			
Strongly Disagree					X	Strongly Agree		
The Curriculum, Courses and Syllabus are framed in line with industry demands.								
	1	2	3	4	5			
Strongly Disagree					X	Strongly Agree		

	1	2	3	4	5	
Strongly Disagree				X		Strongly Agree
	ell structur	red to achi	eve balan	ce betweer	ı fundamen	itals and advanced
sign of syllabus was w bics.	r ell structu r 1	red to achi 2		ce betweer 4	i fundamen 5	itals and advanced

The curriculum recomm	ends releva	ant books :	and refere	ences in the	e field.	
	1	2	3	4	5	
Strongly Disagree					X	Strongly Agree

Teaching the course increased my knowledge and subject matter expertise.								
Strongly Disagree				4 X		Strongly Agree		

Curriculum was innovative and periodically updated.							
Strongly Disagree			3		5	Strongly Agree	

Please provide your suggestions for further improvement in curriculum

Add more design, manufacturing and e-mobility courses may be introduced.