

## FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM DEVELOPMENT

Name of the Faculty:				Designati	on•			
Ar. Emline Madona	Designation: Professor							
Experience in years:	Programme Name:							
15	B. Arch., M. Arch							
Department Vision & Miss	ion Statemo	ents are we	ll defined.					
	1	2	3	4	5			
Strongly Disagree					X	Strongly Agree		
The PO, PEO and PSO sta	tements for	the progra	m offered	are framed	clearly.			
	1	2	3	4	5			
Strongly Disagree					X	Strongly Agree		
The Curriculum, Course	s and Sylla	abus are fi	amed in l	ine with in	dustry den	nands.		
	1	2	3	4	5			
Strongly Disagree				X		Strongly Agree		

	1	2	3	4	5	
Strongly Disagree					X	Strongly Agree
esign of syllabus was w pics.	v <b>ell structu</b>	red to achi 2		ce betweer 4	n fundamer 5	ntals and advanced

	1	2	3	4	5	
Strongly Disagree				X		Strongly Agree

Teaching the course increased my knowledge and subject matter expertise.								
Strongly Disagree				4		Strongly Agree		

Curriculum was innovative and periodically updated.								
Strongly Disagree	1		3		5 X	Strongly Agree		

## Please provide your suggestions for further improvement in curriculum

The syllabus could be improved by incorporating more hands-on projects that simulate real-world urban planning challenges