

FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM DEVELOPMENT

Date:	20-05-2018
-------	------------

Name of the Faculty:	Designation:						
Ar. Muthaiya	Associate Professor						
				Due gue un	. Norman		
Experience in years:				Programn	ie Name:		
10				B. Arch., N	A. Arch		
Department Vision & Miss	ion Stateme	ents are we	ll defined.				
	1	2	3	4	5		
Strongly Disagree				x		Strongly Agree	
The PO, PEO and PSO statements for the program offered are framed clearly.							
	1	2	3	4	5		
Strongly Disagree					X	Strongly Agree	
The Curriculum, Courses and Syllabus are framed in line with industry demands.							
	1	2	3	4	5		
Strongly Disagree			X			Strongly Agree	

The curriculum is design	ied to prov	ide measu	rable outc	comes.		
	1	2	3	4	5	
Strongly Disagree			X			Strongly Agree
Design of syllabus was w topics.	ell structur	ed to achi	eve balan	ce between	fundamei	ntals and advanced
	1	2	3	4	5	
Strongly Disagree					X	Strongly Agree
The curriculum recomm	ends releva	int books a	and refere	nces in the	e field.	
	1	2	3	4	5	
Strongly Disagree					X	Strongly Agree

Teaching the course increased my knowledge and subject matter expertise.									
Strongly Disagree		2			5	Strongly Agree			

Curriculum was innovative and periodically updated.								
Strongly Disagree	1		3		5 X	Strongly Agree		

Please provide your suggestions for further improvement in curriculum

Integrating more interdisciplinary courses could provide a more comprehensive understanding of urban planning issues