

FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM DEVELOPMENT

Date:	20-05-2018
-------	------------

Name of the Faculty:				Designati	on:			
Ar. Sunalini	Associate Professor							
Experience in years:				Programm	ne Name:			
10				B. Arch., N	M. Arch			
Department Vision & Miss	ion Statem	ents are we	ll defined.					
	1	2	3	4	5			
Strongly Disagree					X	Strongly Agree		
The PO, PEO and PSO statements for the program offered are framed clearly.								
	1	2	3	4	5			
Strongly Disagree					X	Strongly Agree		
The Curriculum, Courses and Syllabus are framed in line with industry demands.								
	1	2	3	4	5			
Strongly Disagree				X		Strongly Agree		

The curriculum is designed to provide measurable outcomes.							
	1	2	3	4	5		
Strongly Disagree					X	Strongly Agree	
Design of syllabus was well structured to achieve balance between fundamentals and advanced topics.							
	1	2	3	4	5		
Strongly Disagree				X		Strongly Agree	

The curriculum recommends relevant books and references in the field.								
	1	2	3	4	5			
Strongly Disagree				x		Strongly Agree		

l eaching the course incl	reased my I	knowledge	e and subj	ect matter	expertise.	
	1	2	3	4	5	
Strongly Disagree			X			Strongly Agree

Curriculum was innovative and periodically updated.							
Strongly Disagree	1		3		5	Strongly Agree	

Please provide your suggestions for further improvement in curriculum

Providing opportunities for community-based research projects would help students understand the social and cultural aspects of urban planning