

FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM DEVELOPMENT

Date:	20-05-2018
-------	------------

Name of the Faculty:	Designation:						
Ar. Muthaiya	Associate Professor						
Experience in years:	Programme Name:						
10	B. Arch., M. Arch						
Department Vision & Miss	ion Statem	ents are we	ll defined.				
	1	2	3	4	5		
Strongly Disagree				X		Strongly Agree	
The PO, PEO and PSO sta	tements for	the progra	m offered	are framed	clearly.		
	1	2	3	4	5		
Strongly Disagree				X		Strongly Agree	
The Curriculum, Courses and Syllabus are framed in line with industry demands.							
	1	2	3	4	5		
Strongly Disagree				X		Strongly Agree	

	1	2	3	4	5	
Strongly Disagree				X		Strongly Agree
esign of syllabus was w bics.	ell structu	red to achi	ieve balan	ce betweei	ı fundamer	ntals and advanced
	v ell structu 1	red to achi 2	ieve balan 3	ce betweer 4	1 fundame r 5	ntals and advanced

The curriculum recomm	ends releva	ant books a	and refere	ences in the	e field.	
	1	2	3	4	5	
Strongly Disagree					X	Strongly Agree

Teaching the course increased my knowledge and subject matter expertise.								
Strongly Disagree				4 X		Strongly Agree		

Curriculum was innovative and periodically updated.								
Strongly Disagree	1		3		5 X	Strongly Agree		

Please provide your suggestions for further improvement in curriculum

Introducing courses on emerging topics in urban planning, such as smart cities and resilient design, could provide students with a more cutting-edge education