

FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM DEVELOPMENT

Date: 20-05-2018

Name of the Faculty:				Designati	on:		
Ar. Sunalini	Associate Professor						
Experience in years:	Programme Name:						
10	B. Arch., M. Arch						
Department Vision & Mission Statements are well defined.							
	1	2	3	4	5		
Strongly Disagree					X	Strongly Agree	
The PO, PEO and PSO statements for the program offered are framed clearly.							
	1	2	3	4	5		
Strongly Disagree				X		Strongly Agree	
The Curriculum, Courses and Syllabus are framed in line with industry demands.							
	1	2	3	4	5		
Strongly Disagree					Х	Strongly Agree	

The curriculum is designed to provide measurable outcomes.							
	1	2	3	4	5		
Strongly Disagree					X	Strongly Agree	
Design of syllabus was well structured to achieve balance between fundamentals and advanced topics.							
	1	2	3	4	5		
Strongly Disagree					Х	Strongly Agree	
The curriculum recommends relevant books and references in the field.							
	1	2	3	4	5		
Strongly Disagree				X		Strongly Agree	
Teaching the course increased my knowledge and subject matter expertise.							
	1	2	3	4	5		
Strongly Disagree					X	Strongly Agree	

Curriculum was innovative and periodically updated.							
	1	2		4	5		
Strongly Disagree				X		Strongly Agree	

Please provide your suggestions for further improvement in curriculum

Increasing the focus on data analysis and visualization tools would equip students with the skills needed to succeed in data-driven urban planning