

## FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM DEVELOPMENT

**Date:** 20-05-2018

Name of the Faculty:				Designati	on:			
Dr. D Karthigeyan	Associate Professor							
Experience in years:				Programn	ne Name:			
13	B. Arch., M. Plan							
Department Vision & Miss	ion Stateme	ents are we	ll defined.					
	1	2	3	4	5			
Strongly Disagree				X		Strongly Agree		
The PO, PEO and PSO statements for the program offered are framed clearly.								
	1	2	3	4	5			
Strongly Disagree				X		Strongly Agree		
The Curriculum, Courses and Syllabus are framed in line with industry demands.								
	1	2	3	4	5			
Strongly Disagree					X	Strongly Agree		

The curriculum is designed to provide measurable outcomes.									
	1	2	3	4	5				
Strongly Disagree					Х	Strongly Agree			
Design of syllabus was w topics.	ell structur	ed to achi	eve balan	ce between	ı fundamen	tals and advanced			
	1	2	3	4	5				
Strongly Disagree				Х		Strongly Agree			
The curriculum recomme	The curriculum recommends relevant books and references in the field.								
	1	2	3	4	5				
Strongly Disagree					X	Strongly Agree			
Teaching the course incr	Teaching the course increased my knowledge and subject matter expertise.								
	1	2	3	4	5				
Strongly Disagree				Х		Strongly Agree			

3	4	5	
		X	Strongly Agree

## Please provide your suggestions for further improvement in curriculum

Integrating more interdisciplinary courses could provide a more comprehensive understanding of urban planning issues