

FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM DEVELOPMENT

Date: 03-07-2021

Name of the Faculty:				Designati	on:			
Ar. Meenakshi Sundar	Assistant Professor							
Experience in years:				Programm	ne Name:			
3	B.Arch							
Department Vision & Miss	ion Stateme	ents are we	ll defined.					
	1	2	3	4	5			
Strongly Disagree					X	Strongly Agree		
The PO, PEO and PSO statements for the program offered are framed clearly.								
	1	2	3	4	5			
Strongly Disagree				X		Strongly Agree		
The Curriculum, Courses and Syllabus are framed in line with industry demands.								
	1	2	3	4	5			
Strongly Disagree				X		Strongly Agree		

The curriculum is designed to provide measurable outcomes.							
	1	2	3	4	5		
Strongly Disagree					X	Strongly Agree	
Design of syllabus was we topics.	ell structur	ed to achi	eve balan	ce betweer	ı fundamen	tals and advanced	
	1	2	3	4	5		
Strongly Disagree					X	Strongly Agree	

ends releva	int books a	and refere	ences in the	e field.	
1	2	3	4	5	
				X	Strongly Agree
	1	1 2	1 2 3	1 2 3 4	1 2 3 4 5 I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I

Teaching the course increased my knowledge and subject matter expertise.								
Strongly Disagree				4		Strongly Agree		

Curriculum was innovative and periodically updated.								
Strongly Disagree	1	2		4	5 X	Strongly Agree		

Please provide your suggestions for further improvement in curriculum

Introducing more courses on design thinking and ideation could help students develop a more innovative and creative approach to design.