



## FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM DEVELOPMENT

## Date:

Name of the Faculty:			I	Designation	:		
Ar. Emline Madona	Professor						
Experience in years:	Programme Name:						
15	B. Arch., M. Arch						
Department Vision & Mission Statements are well defined.							
	1	2	3	4	5		
Strongly Disagree					Х	Strongly Agree	
The PO, PEO and PSO statements for the program offered are framed clearly.							
	1	2	3	4	5		
Strongly Disagree				Х		Strongly Agree	
The Curriculum, Courses and Syllabus are framed in line with industry demands.							
	1	2	3	4	5		
Strongly Disagree					X	Strongly Agree	

The curriculum is designed to provide measurable outcomes.						
	1	2	3	4	5	
Strongly Disagree					Х	Strongly Agree
Design of syllabus was well structured to achieve balance between fundamentals and advanced topics.						
	1	2	3	4	5	
Strongly Disagree					Х	Strongly Agree
The curriculum recommends relevant books and references in the field.						
	1	2	3	4	5	
Strongly Disagree			Х			Strongly Agree
Teaching the course increased my knowledge and subject matter expertise.						
	1	2	3	4	5	
Strongly Disagree				Х		Strongly Agree

Curriculum was innovative and periodically updated.						
Strongly Disagree	1	2	3	4	5 X	Strongly Agree
3.						200039 1300

## Please provide your suggestions for further improvement in curriculum

Providing opportunities for international and cross-cultural experiences could expose students to diverse urban planning approaches and strategie