

## FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM DEVELOPMENT

Date:								
Name of the Faculty:	Designation:							
Ar. Muthaiya	Associate Professor							
Experience in years:	Programme Name:							
10	B. Arch., M. Arch							
Department Vision & Miss	ion Statem	ents are we	ll defined.					
	1	2	3	4	5			
Strongly Disagree					X	Strongly Agree		
The PO, PEO and PSO statements for the program offered are framed clearly.								
	1	2	3	4	5			
Strongly Disagree					X	Strongly Agree		
The Curriculum, Courses and Syllabus are framed in line with industry demands.								
	1	2	3	4	5			
Strongly Disagree					X	Strongly Agree		

	1	2	3	4	5	
Strongly Disagree				X		Strongly Agree
esign of syllabus was w pics.					fundamei	ntals and advanced
		~	3	4	5	
	1	2	0	·	0	Strongly Agree

	1	2	3	4	5	
Strongly Disagree				X		Strongly Agree

Teaching the course inc	reased my l	knowledge	and subje	ect matter	expertise.	
Strongly Disagree		2			5 X	Strongly Agree

Curriculum was innovativ	ve and per	iodically u	updated.		
Strongly Disagree			3	 5	Strongly Agree

## Please provide your suggestions for further improvement in curriculum

Introducing courses on emerging topics in urban planning, such as smart cities and resilient design, could provide students with a more cutting-edge education