



FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM DEVELOPMENT

Date:

Name of the Faculty:				Designati	on:		
Ar. Sunalini	Associate Professor						
Experience in years:	Programme Name:						
10	B. Arch., M. Arch						
Department Vision & Mission Statements are well defined.							
	1	2	3	4	5		
Strongly Disagree				X		Strongly Agree	
The PO, PEO and PSO statements for the program offered are framed clearly.							
	1	2	3	4	5		
Strongly Disagree				Х		Strongly Agree	
The Curriculum, Courses and Syllabus are framed in line with industry demands.							
	1	2	3	4	5		
Strongly Disagree					Х	Strongly Agree	

The curriculum is designed to provide measurable outcomes.							
	1	2	3	4	5		
Strongly Disagree					Х	Strongly Agree	
Design of syllabus was well structured to achieve balance between fundamentals and advanced topics.							
	1	2	3	4	5		
Strongly Disagree				X		Strongly Agree	
The curriculum recommends relevant books and references in the field.							
	1	2	3	4	5		
Strongly Disagree				X		Strongly Agree	
Teaching the course increased my knowledge and subject matter expertise.							
	1	2	3	4	5		
Strongly Disagree				Х		Strongly Agree	

Curriculum was innovative and periodically updated.							
Strongly Disagree	1	2	3	4	5 X	Strongly Agree	
						3	

Please provide your suggestions for further improvement in curriculum

Increasing the focus on data analysis and visualization tools would equip students with the skills needed to succeed in data-driven urban planning