

## FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM DEVELOPMENT

**Date:** 18.3.2017

Name of the Faculty:				Designati	on:		
Mr. B.Prabakaran	Asst Prof (SG)						
Experience in years:				Programn	ne Name:		
28	M.Tech-Automobile Engineering						
Department Vision & Miss	ion Stateme	ents are we	ll defined.				
	1	2	3	4	5		
Strongly Disagree					Х	Strongly Agree	
The PO, PEO and PSO stat	tements for	the progra	m offered	are framed	clearly.		
	1	2	3	4	5		
Strongly Disagree					Х	Strongly Agree	
The Curriculum, Course	s and Sylla	ıbus are fr	amed in l	ine with in	dustry dem	ands.	
	1	2	3	4	5		
Strongly Disagree				X		Strongly Agree	

The curriculum is design	ed to prov	ide measu	rable outo	comes.		
	1	2	3	4	5	
Strongly Disagree					Х	Strongly Agree
Design of syllabus was w topics.	ell structur	ed to achi	eve balan	ce between	fundamen	tals and advanced
	1	2	3	4	5	
Strongly Disagree					Х	Strongly Agree
The curriculum recomm	ends releva	nt books :	and refere	ences in the	e field.	
	1	2	3	4	5	
Strongly Disagree				X		Strongly Agree
Teaching the course incr	eased my k	nowledge	and subje	ect matter	expertise.	
	1	2	3	4	5	
Strongly Disagree			Х			Strongly Agree

Curriculum was innovati	ive and per	iodically ι	ıpdated.			
Strongly Disagree	1	2	3	4	5 X	Strongly Agree
Please provide your sugge	estions for f	urther im	provement	t in curric	ulum	