

## FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM DEVELOPMENT

Date: 15.03.2020								
Name of the Faculty:				Designati	on:			
Dr. Lakshmi	Assistant Professor							
Experience in years:	Programme Name:							
0	MA-English							
Department Vision & Miss	ion Stateme	ents are wel	ll defined.					
	1	2	3	4	5			
Strongly Disagree					X	Strongly Agree		
The PO, PEO and PSO stat	tements for	the progra	m offered	are framed	clearly			
	1	2			5			
	I	Z	5	4	5			
Strongly Disagree					X	Strongly Agree		
The Curriculum, Courses and Syllabus are framed in line with industry demands.								
	1	2	3	4	5			
Strongly Disagree	'	<u>~</u>		т 		Strongly Agree		
Su ongiy Disugree					x	Survingiy Agree		

	1	2	3	4	5	
Strongly Disagree				X		Strongly Agree
	ell structu	red to achi	ieve balan	ce betweei	n fundamer	itals and advanced
esign of syllabus was w pics.	z <b>ell structu</b> 1	red to achi 2		ce between 4	n fundamen 5	itals and advanced

The curriculum recomm	ends releva	nt books a	and refere	nces in the	e field.	
	1	2	3	4	5	
Strongly Disagree					X	Strongly Agree

Teaching the course increased my knowledge and subject matter expertise.								
Strongly Disagree		2			5 X	Strongly Agree		

Curriculum was innovative and periodically updated.							
Strongly Disagree			3		5	Strongly Agree	

## Please provide your suggestions for further improvement in curriculum

ELT course, criticism course, etc., could be made into Theory cum practical courses