

FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM DEVELOPMENT

Date: 2	3.12	2.20	19
---------	------	------	----

Name of the Faculty:				Designati	on:			
Dr. P.S.Sreeja	Assistant Professor (SG)							
Experience in years:	Programme Name:							
13	MCA							
Department Vision & Miss	ion Statem	ents are we	ll defined.					
	1	2	3	4	5			
Strongly Disagree					X	Strongly Agree		
The PO, PEO and PSO sta	The PO, PEO and PSO statements for the program offered are framed clearly.							
	1	2	3	4	5			
Strongly Disagree					X	Strongly Agree		
The Curriculum, Courses and Syllabus are framed in line with industry demands.								
	1	2	3	4	5			
Strongly Disagree					X	Strongly Agree		

	1	2	3	4	5	
Strongly Disagree				X		Strongly Agree
esign of syllabus was w pics.	ell structur	red to achi	ieve balan	ce betweer	ı fundamer	tals and advanced
	r ell structu 1	red to achi 2		ce betweer 4	i fundame r 5	tals and advanced

The curriculum recommends relevant books and references in the field.							
	1	2	3	4	5		
Strongly Disagree					X	Strongly Agree	

Teaching the course increased my knowledge and subject matter expertise.							
Strongly Disagree		2			5	Strongly Agree	

Curriculum was innovative and periodically updated.							
Strongly Disagree			3		5	Strongly Agree	

Please provide your suggestions for further improvement in curriculum

More practical labs should be added for the courses.