

FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM DEVELOPMENT

Strongly Disagree	atements are		BIOTECI	SR me Name:	CAL ENGG.,					
Experience in years: 12 Department Vision & Mission St Strongly Disagree The PO, PEO and PSO statement			Program BIOTECI	me Name: H & CHEMI	CAL ENGG.,					
12 Department Vision & Mission St <i>Strongly Disagree</i> The PO, PEO and PSO statement			BIOTECI	H & CHEMI	CAL ENGG.,					
12 Department Vision & Mission St <i>Strongly Disagree</i> The PO, PEO and PSO statement			BIOTECI	H & CHEMI	CAL ENGG.,					
Department Vision & Mission St Strongly Disagree			I.		CAL ENGG.,					
Strongly Disagree				5						
Strongly Disagree	1 2	3	4	5						
The PO, PEO and PSO statemen										
				X	Strongly Agree					
	The PO, PEO and PSO statements for the program offered are framed clearly.									
	1 2	3	4	5						
Strongly Disagree			X		Strongly Agree					
The Curriculum, Courses and Syllabus are framed in line with industry demands.										
	1 2	3	4	5						
Strongly Disagree			X		Strongly Agree					

The curriculum is design	ed to provi	ide measu	rable outc	comes.		
	1	2	3	4	5	
Strongly Disagree					X	Strongly Agree
Design of syllabus was w topics.	ell structur	ed to achi	eve balan	ce betweer	ı fundamen	tals and advanced
	1	2	3	4	5	
Strongly Disagree					x	Strongly Agree

1	2	3	4	5	
				X	Strongly Agree

Teaching the course inc	reased my k	knowledge	and subj	ect matter	expertise.	
Strongly Disagree		2			5 X	Strongly Agree

Curriculum was innovativ	ve and per	iodically ι	ıpdated.		
Strongly Disagree			3	 5	Strongly Agree

Please provide your suggestions for further improvement in curriculum

Project based learning should be included in the curriculum