



## **FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM DEVELOPMENT**

**Date:** 08.05.2018

Name of the Faculty:	Designation:						
Dr. Govindarajan	Assistant Professor (SG)						
Experience in years:	Programme Name:						
13	Chemical						
Department Vision & Mission Statements are well defined.							
	1	2	3	4	5		
Strongly Disagree				X		Strongly Agree	
The PO, PEO and PSO statements for the program offered are framed clearly.							
	1	2	3	4	5		
Strongly Disagree					X	Strongly Agree	
The Curriculum, Courses and Syllabus are framed in line with industry demands.							
	1	2	3	4	5		
Strongly Disagree					X	Strongly Agree	

The curriculum is designed to provide measurable outcomes.							
	1	2	3	4	5		
Strongly Disagree				Х		Strongly Agree	
Design of syllabus was well structured to achieve balance between fundamentals and advanced topics.							
	1	2	3	4	5		
Strongly Disagree					Х	Strongly Agree	
The curriculum recommends relevant books and references in the field.							
	1	2	3	4	5		
Strongly Disagree					Х	Strongly Agree	
Teaching the course increased my knowledge and subject matter expertise.							
	1	2	3	4	5		
Strongly Disagree				Х		Strongly Agree	
The curriculum recomm  Strongly Disagree  Teaching the course incl	1 reased my k	2 nowledge	and subje	ect matter	e field.  5  X  expertise.	Strongly Agree	

Curriculum was innovative and periodically updated.							
Strongly Disagree	1	2	3		5 X	Strongly Agree	

## Please provide your suggestions for further improvement in curriculum

Design based subjects can be included in curriculum