



FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM DEVELOPMENT

Date: 08.05.2018

Name of the Faculty:				Designati	on:	
Dr.Krithiga	Assistant Professor (SG)					
Experience in years:				Programn	me Name:	
8				Chemical		
Department Vision & Miss	ion Statemo	ents are wel	ll defined.			
	1	2	3	4	5	
Strongly Disagree				X		Strongly Agree
The PO, PEO and PSO star	tements for	the progra	m offered	are framed	clearly.	
	1	2	3	4	5	
Strongly Disagree				Х		Strongly Agree
The Curriculum, Course	s and Sylla	abus are fr	amed in l	ine with in	dustry dem	ands.
	1	2	3	4	5	
Strongly Disagree				X		Strongly Agree

The curriculum is design	ed to prov	ide measu	rable outo	comes.		
	1	2	3	4	5	
Strongly Disagree					Х	Strongly Agree
Design of syllabus was w topics.	ell structur	ed to achi	eve balan	ce between	ı fundamen	tals and advanced
	1	2	3	4	5	
Strongly Disagree					Х	Strongly Agree
The curriculum recomme	ends releva	nt books :	and refere	ences in the	e field.	
	1	2	3	4	5	
Strongly Disagree				X		Strongly Agree
Teaching the course incr	eased my k	nowledge	and subje	ect matter	expertise.	
	1	2	3	4	5	
Strongly Disagree				Х		Strongly Agree

Curriculum was innovat	ive and per	riodically t	updated.			
	1	2	3	4	5	
Strongly Disagree					X	Strongly Agree

Please provide your suggestions for further improvement in curriculum

Design project can be considered