

## FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM DEVELOPMENT

Date: 1	7.3.2017
---------	----------

Name of the Faculty:				Designati	o <b>n:</b>		
DR.S.Seralathan	Associate Professor						
Experience in years:				Programn	ne Name:		
18				AEROSPA	<b>CE</b>		
Department Vision & Miss	ion Stateme	ents are we	ll defined.				
	1	2	3	4	5		
Strongly Disagree			X			Strongly Agree	
The PO, PEO and PSO statements for the program offered are framed clearly.							
	1	2	3	4	5		
Strongly Disagree			X			Strongly Agree	
The Curriculum, Courses and Syllabus are framed in line with industry demands.							
	1	2	3	4	5		
Strongly Disagree			X			Strongly Agree	

The curriculum is designed to provide measurable outcomes.						
	1	2	3	4	5	
Strongly Disagree				X		Strongly Agree
Design of syllabus was well structured to achieve balance between fundamentals and advanced topics.						
	1	2	3	4	5	
Strongly Disagree				X		Strongly Agree

`he curriculum recomm	iends releva	int books :	and refere	ences in the	e field.	
	1	2	3	4	5	
Strongly Disagree					x	Strongly Agree

Teaching the course increased my knowledge and subject matter expertise.							
Strongly Disagree				4 X	5	Strongly Agree	

Curriculum was innovativ	ve and per	iodically u	ıpdated.			
Strongly Disagree	1		3	4	5 X	Strongly Agree

## Please provide your suggestions for further improvement in curriculum

Theory course can be lab integrated